

Oversight and Governance
Chief Executive's Department
Plymouth City Council
Ballard House
Plymouth PLI 3BJ
T 01752 668000
www.plymouth.gov.uk/democracy
Published 19/05/22

Delegated Decisions

Delegated Executive/Officer Decisions

Delegated Executive and Officer decisions that are published are available at the following link - https://tinyurl.com/ms6umor

Cabinet decisions subject to call-in are published at the following link -http://tinyurl.com/yddrqll6

Notice of call-in for non-urgent decisions must be given to the Democratic Support Unit by 4.30 pm on Thursday 26 May 2022. Please note – urgent decisions and non-key Council Officer decisions cannot be called in. Copies of the decisions together with background reports are available for viewing as follows:

- on the Council's Intranet Site at https://modgov/mgDelegatedDecisions.aspx
- on the Council's website at https://tinyurl.com/jhnax4e

The Cabinet decision detailed below may be implemented on Friday 27 May 2022 if it is not called-in. The Council Officer decision may be implemented immediately.

Delegated Decisions

- I. Councillor Richard Bingley, Leader of the Council:
 - I.I. Western Approach Carpark LED Scheme (Pages I I0)
- 2. Council Officer Decision Brendan Arnold, Service Director for Finance:
 - 2.1. Investment Loan for the Famous Firkin from the Inclusive (Pages II 40) Economy Fund

EXECUTIVE DECISION

made by a Cabinet Member



REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY AN INDIVIDUAL CABINET MEMBER

Executive Decision Reference Number - L52 21/22

Dec	cision							
ı	Title of decision: Western Approach Carpark LED Scheme							
2	Decision maker (Cabinet member name and portfolio title): Councillor Richard Bingley, Leader of the Council							
3	Report author and contact details:							
	Dan Williams							
	Daniel.williams@plymouth.gov.uk							
	01752 308841							
4	Decision to be taken:							
	I. Allocates £374,000 for the project into the Capital Programme funded by Service Borrowing.							
	2. Delegates the award of the installation contract to Kim Brown, Service Director for HROD, against existing Term Contracts.							
5	Reasons for decision:							
	Lighting installation within Western Apparent containment damaged posing a H&S required within multi-story carparks as	S risk a	nd risk					
6	Alternative options considered and rejected:							
	Do nothing – Will result in further deterioration of system and likely complete failure and continued use of poor quality in-efficient fittings.							
	Wait until each floor fails and address floor by floor – Will result in increased H&S risk, loss of revenue and additional installation costs.							
7	Financial implications and risks: £374,	000 fui	nded by	Service Borrowing.				
8	Is the decision a Key Decision? (please contact Democratic Support	Yes	No	Per the Constitution, a key decision is one which:				
	for further advice)		x	in the case of capital projects and contract awards, results in a new commitment to spend and/or save in				

						excess of £3million in total		
					x	in the case of revenue projects when the decision involves entering into new commitments and/or making new savings in excess of £1 million		
					x	is significant in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards in the area of the local authority.		
	_	publication of the Forward Plan of Key						
9		how this decision is		Caring	for peo	ple and communities:		
	linked to the Council's corporate plan/Plymouth Plan and/or the policy framework and/or the revenue/capital budget:			Ensuring the council maintains its buildings to a reliable				
10	Please specify environmenta decision (carb	l implications of the		Reducing energy consumption and CO2 emissions.				
Urge	nt decisions							
11	implemented interests of the	n urgent and to be I immediately in the he Council or the	Ye	es		(If yes, please contact Democratic Support (democraticsupport@plymouth.gov.uk) for advice)		
	public?		N	o	x	(If no, go to section 13a)		
I2a	Reason for un	gency:						
I2b	Scrutiny Chair Signature:				Date			
	Scrutiny Committee name:							
	Print Name:							
Cons	ultation							
13a	•	Cabinet members'		Yes				
	portfolios affe	cted by the decision?	-	No	x	(If no go to section 14)		
I3b	Which other	Cabinet member's						

	porti	folio is affected by the decision?									
I3c	Date	Cabinet member consulted									
14		any Cabinet member declared a ict of interest in relation to the	Yes			yes, ple Officer	ease disc	uss with	the Moni	toring	
	decision?		No	x							
15		ch Corporate Management n member has been consulted?	Name		Α	nthony	Payne				
	ı ear	n member has been consulted:	Job tit	le	St	trategic	Directo	r for Plac	e		
			Date consu	lted	Fe	ebruary	2022				
Sign	-off										
16		off codes from the relevant rtments consulted:	Demo			port		DSI	45 21/22	2	
			Financ	ce (ma	andat	tory)		pl.22	2.23.11		
			Legal	(mano	dator	γ)		MS/0	MS/05.05.22		
			Human Resources (if applicable)					le) N/A	N/A		
			Corporate property (if applicable)				S004	S0045.DW.20220518			
			Procurement (if applicable)				N/A				
Арр	endic	es									
17	Ref.	Title of appendix									
	Α	Business Case									
Conf	identi	ial/exempt information	1	1	ı						
18a	_	ou need to include any dential/exempt information?	Yes		briefi publi	yes, prepare a second, confidential riefing report and indicate why it is ublication by virtue of Part 1 of Sche			hy it is not of Sched	ot for ot large	
			No	x	of the Local Govern				nent Act 1972 by ticking Bb below.		
					briefi	Keep as much information as possible in triefing report that will be in the public lomain)					
				E	Exem	ption	Paragra	ph Nun	nber		
			ı	2		3	4	5	6	7	
18b	Conf title:	idential/exempt briefing report									

Background Papers

19 Please list all unpublished, background papers relevant to the decision in the table below.

Background papers are <u>unpublished</u> works, relied on to a material extent in preparing the report, which disclose facts or matters on which the report or an important part of the work is based. If some/all of the information is confidential, you must indicate why it is not for publication by virtue of Part I of Schedule I2A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box.

Title of background paper(s)	Exemption Paragraph Number						
	ı	2	3	4	5	6	7

Cabinet Member Signature

I agree the decision and confirm that it is not contrary to the Council's policy and budget framework, Corporate Plan or Budget. In taking this decision I have given due regard to the Council's duty to promote equality of opportunity, eliminate unlawful discrimination and promote good relations between people who share protected characteristics under the Equalities Act and those who do not. For further details please see the EIA attached.

Signature	Hichard Bingley	Date of decision	19 May 2022
Print Name	Councillor Richard Bingley		

CAPITAL INVESTMENT BUSINESS CASE

Western Approach LED Lighting Scheme



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Executive Summary is a short summary of the Business Case and should be the last section you complete, this will enable you to extract or only the key facts from relevant sections i.e. 'project on a page'. The summary is a 'snapshot' of the business case which will need to tell the story and sell the proposal. key notes

- Lighting installation at the end of its efficient life
- Insulation requires replacement due to inefficiency and progressive failure of containment
- System is currently energy inefficient
- BC to fully replace lighting installation and install emergency lighting
- Total works £340,000
- Additional contingency 10% £34,000

key risks

- Lighting system failure prior to works commencing. Loss of income for Western Approach Car Park
- H&S risk from further containment coming loose/falling from ceiling.

It is recommended that the Leader of the Council:

- Approves the Business Case
- Allocates £374,000 for the project into the Capital Programme funded by Service Borrowing.
- Delegates the award of the installation contract to Kim Brown, Service Director for HROD, against existing Term Contracts.

SECTION I: PROJECT DETAIL							
Project Value (indicate capital or revenue)	£340,000 Capital	Contingency (show as £ and % of project value)	£34,000 10%				
Programme	Building Maintenance	Directorate	HROD				
Portfolio Holder	Councillor Richard Bingley, Leader	Service Director	Kim Brown				
Senior	Dan Williams	Project Manager					
Responsible							
Officer (client)							
Address and Post	Ballard House, PLI 3BJ	Ward	Sutton and Mount				
Code			Gould				

Current Situation: (Provide a brief, concise paragraph outlining the current situation and explain the current business need, problem, opportunity or change of circumstances that needs to be resolved)

Lighting installation within Western Approach is deemed poor with many fittings not working and containment damaged posing a H&S risk and risk of complete lighting failure. Lighting is required within multi-story carparks as a matter of safety for drivers and pedestrians.

The current installation is not energy efficient with current fittings rated 140 watt which can be replaced for 50 watt LED fittings.

Proposal: (Provide a brief, concise paragraph outlining your scheme and explain how the business proposal will address the current situation above or take advantage of the business opportunity) **and**

(What would happen if we didn't proceed with this scheme?)

Proposal is to completely replace the lighting infrastructure throughout the property including installation of emergency lightings. This will be with 50 watt LEDs to reduce the energy consumption by over 50%

800 fittings are to be replaced with 6" LEDs 50W each and on microwave motion detection turning off after 5 minutes of no movement.

Existing energy use estimate: 110kW for 17 hrs a day (estimate from known lighting controls and use) @ £0.15/kWhr is approx. £102.5k/year.

This reflects reasonably closely with the electricity bill for WA Car Park (including the used and generated on site energy value) of £98k/year.

Replacing with the same number 800 fittings at 6" LEDs 50W each and on microwave and off after 5 mins giving about: 40kW say 5 hrs a day @£0.15 gives £11,000 a year.

Pay back rate (present energy cost minus anticipated future energy use) in the order of £87k a year. (Indicating a payback of about 4 years 4 months would be possible)

It should be noted that:

At the moment the top three floors are seldom used and therefore with microwave detection the use will be significantly less than 5 hours.

These are based on energy costs of only I5pence/kWhr, this is exceeded and is anticipated to go up by an additional 60% by Apr 2023. This will increase the saving and therefore shorten the payback.

Works	£
Materials and Labour	300,000
Project management and QS fees	40,000
Subtotal	340,000
Contingency 10%	34,000
Total	374,000

Milestones and Date:							
Contract Award Date	Start On Site Date	Completion Date					
ASAP		12 Week Programme					

SECTION 2: PROJECT RISK, OUTCOMES AND BENEFITS

Risk Register: The Risk Register/Risk Log is a master document created during the early stages of a project. It includes information about each identified risk, level of risk, who owns it and what measures are in place to mitigate the risks (cut and paste more boxes if required).

Potential	Potential Risks Identified			Likelihood	Impact	Overall
						Rating
Risk	Lighting system	works commencing.	Medium	Medium	Medium	
	Loss of income	for Western Ap	proach Car Park.			
Mitigation	Works to comn	nence ASAP usir	ng existing contract	Low	Low	Low
	arrangements					
Calculated	risk value in £	£22.6k per				
(Extent of	financial risk)	month				

Outcomes and Benefits

List the outcomes and benefits expected from this project.

(An **outcome** is the result of the change derived from using the project's deliverables. This section should describe the anticipated outcome)

(A **benefit** is the measurable improvement resulting from an outcome that is perceived as an advantage. Benefits are the expected value to be delivered by the project, measurable whenever possible)

benefits are the expected value to be delivered by the project, medsurable whenever possible)					
Financial outcomes and benefits:	Non-financial outcomes and benefits:				
 Decreased energy spend due to improved installation Cost avoidance of total failure reactive works 	 Improved safety and reliability of light installation Achievement toward carbon reduction plan 				

Low Carbon					
What is the anticipated impact of the proposal on carbon emissions	Overall Decrease				
How does it contribute to the Council becoming Carbon neutral by 2030	Improved energy efficiency of the asset				
Have you engaged with Pro	curement Service.	No			
Procurement route options considered for goods, services or works	Procurement will be via existing Term Contract	cors			
Procurements Recommended route.	N/A				
Who is your Procurement Lead.	N/A				
Which Members have you engaged with and how have they been consulted (including the Leader, Portfolio Holders and Ward Members)					

SECTION 4: FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT

FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT: In this section the robustness of the proposals should be set out in financial terms. The Project Manager will need to work closely with the capital and revenue finance teams to ensure that these sections demonstrate the affordability of the proposals to the Council as a whole.

CAPITAL COSTS AND FINANCING								
Breakdown of project costs including fees surveys and contingency	Prev. Yr. £k	20/21 £k	21/22 £k	22/23 £k	23/24 £k	24/25 £m	Future Yrs. £k	Total £k
Construction				300				300
Contingency				34				34
Project Management				40				40
Total capital spend				374				374

Provide details of proposed funding: Funding to match with Project Value								
Breakdown of proposed funding	Prev. Yr. £k	20/21 £k	21/22 £k	22/23 £k	23/24 £k	24/25 £m	Future Yrs. £k	Total £k
Service Borrowing - Parking				374				374
Total funding				374				374

Which external funding sources been explored	None
Are there any bidding constraints and/or any restrictions or conditions attached to your funding	None
Tax and VAT implications	VAT will be payable on works
Tax and VAT reviewed by	Sarah Scott

REVENUE COSTS AND IMPLICATIONS						
Cost of Developing the Capital Project (To be incurred at risk to Service area)						
Total Cost of developing the project	£0					
Revenue cost code for the development costs						
Revenue costs incurred for developing the project are to be included in the capital total, some of the expenditure could be capitalised if it meets the criteria	N					
Budget Managers Name	Darren Stoneman					

Ongoing Revenue Implications for Service Area									
	Prev. Yr.	20/21 £	21/22 £	22/23 £	23/24 £	23/24 £	Future Yrs.		
Service area revenue cost									
Other (eg: maintenance, utilities, etc)									
Loan repayment (terms agreed with Treasury Management)				42732	42732	42732	299124		
Total Revenue Cost (A)				42732	42732	42732	299124		
	_	<u> </u>	<u>'</u>	<u>'</u>	'	<u>'</u>	'		
Service area revenue benefits/savings									
Annual revenue savings (eg: rents, etc)			0	87000	87000	87000	87000 p.a		
Total Revenue Income (B)			0	0					
Service area net (benefit) cost (B-A)			0	44268	44268	44268	44268		
		I.	1	1	1	1	Page 4 of 5		

									p.a	
Has the revenue cost been budgeted for or would this make a revenue pressure			Budgeted							
Which cost centre would the revenue pressure be shown			0499		Has this been reviewed by the budget manager				Yes	
Name of budget manager			Mike Artherton							
	Service Borrowing £374,000	Interest Rate	2.5%	Tern Year	า s	10	Annual Repaym	ent	£42,732	
Revenue code for annual repayments			0499							
Service borro	e area or corporate		Corporate Borrowing Service Borrowing							
Revenue implications reviewed by Stephen Coker										

Version Control: (The version control table must be updated and signed off each time a change is made to the document to provide an audit trail for the revision and update of draft and final versions)

Author of Business Case	Date	Document Version	Reviewed By	Date
Dan Williams	21/02/2022	v 1.0		00/00/2022

SECTION 6: RECOMMENDATION AND ENDORSEMENT

Recommended Decision

It is recommended that the Leader of the Council:

- Approves the Business Case
- Allocates £374,000 for the project into the Capital Programme funded by Service Borrowing.
- Delegates the award of the roof repair contract to the Service Director for HROD, against existing Term Contracts.

Leader - Councillor Richa	ırd Bingley	Service Director – Philip Robinson
Either email dated:	date	Either email dated:
Or signed: Hichard Bingley		Pol pri sen
		Signed:
Date:		Date: 18.5.22
		Service Director – Kim Brown
		Either email dated:
		KB1040 -
		Signed:
		Date: 19.05.2022



EXECUTIVE DECISION

made by a Council Officer



REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY AN INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL OFFICER

Executive Decision Reference Number - COD30 21/22

Title of decision: Investment Loan for The Famous Firkin from the Inclusion Decision maker (Council Officer name and job title): Brendan Arnold Section 151 Officer Report author and contact details: Jane Hunt 01752 398048 Decision to be taken: To approve a loan of £130,000 for Millfields Trust CIC towards the purchase of Firkin pub situated in Adelaide Street, Stonehouse which is vacant and in a very purchase of the Reference number of original executive decision or date of original columbere delegation was made: L23 21/22 Reasons for decision: This loan meets the criteria of the Inclusive Economy Fund. The loan is based again valuation of the property. (Part 2 – Report) Alternative options considered and rejected: The Community Ownership Fund could have been an alternative option but the bidding round would not have fitted in with the timescale for the purchase of the									
Brendan Arnold Section 151 Officer Report author and contact details: Jane Hunt 01752 398048 Decision to be taken: To approve a loan of £130,000 for Millfields Trust CIC towards the purchase of Firkin pub situated in Adelaide Street, Stonehouse which is vacant and in a very public Reference number of original executive decision or date of original converse delegation was made: L23 21/22 Reasons for decision: This loan meets the criteria of the Inclusive Economy Fund. The loan is based again valuation of the property. (Part 2 – Report)	Title of decision: Investment Loan for The Famous Firkin from the Inclusive Economy Fund								
 Report author and contact details: Jane Hunt 01752 398048 Decision to be taken: To approve a loan of £130,000 for Millfields Trust CIC towards the purchase of Firkin pub situated in Adelaide Street, Stonehouse which is vacant and in a very purchase of the delegation was made: L23 21/22 Reasons for decision: This loan meets the criteria of the Inclusive Economy Fund. The loan is based again valuation of the property. (Part 2 – Report) Alternative options considered and rejected: The Community Ownership Fund could have been an alternative option but the 	, ,								
Jane Hunt 01752 398048 4a Decision to be taken: To approve a loan of £130,000 for Millfields Trust CIC towards the purchase of Firkin pub situated in Adelaide Street, Stonehouse which is vacant and in a very purchase of the delegation was made: L23 21/22 5 Reasons for decision: This loan meets the criteria of the Inclusive Economy Fund. The loan is based again valuation of the property. (Part 2 – Report) 6 Alternative options considered and rejected: The Community Ownership Fund could have been an alternative option but the									
 Decision to be taken: To approve a loan of £130,000 for Millfields Trust CIC towards the purchase of Firkin pub situated in Adelaide Street, Stonehouse which is vacant and in a very purchase of the Reference number of original executive decision or date of original converse delegation was made:									
To approve a loan of £130,000 for Millfields Trust CIC towards the purchase of Firkin pub situated in Adelaide Street, Stonehouse which is vacant and in a very purchase of the Reference number of original executive decision or date of original converse delegation was made: L23 21/22 Reasons for decision: This loan meets the criteria of the Inclusive Economy Fund. The loan is based again valuation of the property. (Part 2 – Report) Alternative options considered and rejected: The Community Ownership Fund could have been an alternative option but the									
Firkin pub situated in Adelaide Street, Stonehouse which is vacant and in a very part of Reference number of original executive decision or date of original consumers delegation was made: L23 21/22 Reasons for decision: This loan meets the criteria of the Inclusive Economy Fund. The loan is based again valuation of the property. (Part 2 – Report) Alternative options considered and rejected: The Community Ownership Fund could have been an alternative option but the									
 where delegation was made: L23 21/22 Reasons for decision: This loan meets the criteria of the Inclusive Economy Fund. The loan is based agricultation of the property. (Part 2 – Report) Alternative options considered and rejected: The Community Ownership Fund could have been an alternative option but the 									
 Reasons for decision: This loan meets the criteria of the Inclusive Economy Fund. The loan is based agriculturation of the property. (Part 2 – Report) Alternative options considered and rejected: The Community Ownership Fund could have been an alternative option but the 	nmittee meeting								
This loan meets the criteria of the Inclusive Economy Fund. The loan is based agricultural valuation of the property. (Part 2 – Report) 6 Alternative options considered and rejected: The Community Ownership Fund could have been an alternative option but the									
 valuation of the property. (Part 2 – Report) Alternative options considered and rejected: The Community Ownership Fund could have been an alternative option but the 									
The Community Ownership Fund could have been an alternative option but the	inst a 'Red book'								
7 Financial implications and risks:									
This loan will enable an empty asset to be brought back into use for community return on the initial investment. There will be a legal charge on the property and the property would become an asset to PCC									
8 Is the decision a Key Decision? Yes No Per the Consideration of the Co	titution, a key e which:								
English to the second state of the second stat	capital projects and								

I3b	Which other Cabinet member's portfolio is affected by the decision		Councillor Rebecca Smith, Cabinet Member for Homes and Communities						
13a	Are any other Cabinet members portfolios affected by the decision		Yes No	X	(If no go to section 14)				
	sultation								
	Print Name:								
	Scrutiny Committee name:								
I2b	Scrutiny Chair signature:			Date					
I2a	Reason for urgency:								
	interests of the Council or the public?	N	lo	x	(If no, go to section 13a)				
Urge	Is the decision urgent and to be implemented immediately in the		'es		(If yes, please contact <u>Democratic</u> <u>Support</u> for advice)				
114	decision (carbon impact)								
10	Please specify any direct environmental implications of the			None.					
9	Please specify how this decision linked to the Council's corporate plan/Plymouth Plan and/or the pframework and/or the revenue/capital budget:	е	Unlock	Unlocking the city's potential					
8b	If yes, date of publication of the notice in the Forward Plan of Key Decisions								
				x	is significant in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards in the area of the local authority.				
				×	when the decision involves entering into new commitments and/or making new savings in excess of £1 million				
					commitment to spend and/or save in excess of £3million in total in the case of revenue projects				
					contract awards, results in a new				

I3c	Date	e Cabinet member consulted	19 May 2022								
14		Has any Cabinet member declared a conflict of interest in relation to the decision?					If yes, please discuss with the Monitoring Officer				
	decis			×							
15		ch Corporate Management m member has been consulted?	Name			Anth	ony Payn	e			
	rear	n member has been consuited:	Job tit	le		Strate	egic Dire	ctor for	Place		
_			Date	consul	ted	2 nd D	ecember	2021			
Sign	-off										
16				cratic latory		ort		DS ²	148 21/2	22	
			Financ	ce (ma	ındat	ory)		ba.22	2.23.09		
			Legal	(mano	dator	y)		LS/3	8487/JP/2	260422	
			Huma	ın Res	ource	es (if a	pplicab	le)	±)		
			Corporate property (if applicable)								
			Procu	remer	nt (if	applic	able)				
Арр	endic	es									
17	Ref.	Title of appendix									
	Α	Briefing report for publication - Part	I Repo	rt Famo	ous Fii	rkin Pr	oposal				
	В	Equalities Impact Assessment (where	required))							
_											
Conf	ident	ial/exempt information	1								
18a		ou need to include any idential/exempt information?	Yes	x	briefi	ng rep	are a seco ort and in by virtue	ndicate w	hy it is n	ot for	
					of the	e Local	Govern t box in I	ment Act	: 1972 by		
				E	xem	ption	Paragra	ph Nun	nber		
			ı	2		3	4	5	6	7	
18b	title:				x						
	Part 2 Valua	2 report Famous Firkin 'Red book' tion									

Background Papers

19 Please list all unpublished, background papers relevant to the decision in the table below.

Background papers are <u>unpublished</u> works, relied on to a material extent in preparing the report, which disclose facts or matters on which the report or an important part of the work is based. If some/all of the information is confidential, you must indicate why it is not for publication by virtue of Part I of Schedule I2A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box.

Title of background paper(s)		Exemption Paragraph Number					
	ı	2	3	4	5	6	7

Council Officer Signature

I agree the decision and confirm that it is not contrary to the Council's policy and budget framework, Corporate Plan or Budget. In taking this decision I have given due regard to the Council's duty to promote equality of opportunity, eliminate unlawful discrimination and promote good relations between people who share protected characteristics under the Equalities Act and those who do not. For further details please see the EIA attached.

Signature		Date of decision	25.04.2022
	Brews All		
Print Name	Brendan Arnold		

FAMOUS FIRKIN PROPOSAL (PART I REPORT)



The Famous Firkin Stonehouse

Name and address of pub for sale

Famous Firkin

Address

2 Adelaide Place, Stonehouse PLI 3JG or 47-53 Adelaide Street, Stonehouse, PLI 3JG Grade II listed. Listed number 1129958. Listed since 1975.

Purchaser: The Millfields Trust CIC

Company address:

HQ 237 Union Street, Stonehouse, Plymouth, PLI 3HQ.

Registration number: 03513202

Recommendation:

That a loan of £130,000 is awarded to Millfields Trust CIC from the Inclusive Economy Fund towards the purchase of the former Famous Firkin pub situated in Adelaide Street, Stonehouse which has is vacant and in a very poor state of repair.

Background

Profile of the purchaser and managing organization

Millfields Trust is a not-for-profit social enterprise whose aims are to contribute to the regeneration of the Stonehouse neighbourhood of Plymouth. The Trust is a democratic organisation with membership open to anyone over the age of 17. Members elect Directors to the Board of management on an annual basis.

The Trust has been trading for 22 years with its core business the provision of managed workspace. Currently the Trust is home to over 100 businesses, charities, and social enterprises. In addition, our premises are used by community groups and for conferences and meetings.

The Trust has been uses its trading surplus to develop work with young people in Stonehouse and to support other community initiatives. This is done mostly via our sister Charity Millfields Inspired which is runs a programme with raising the aspirations of year 5 children. For the last 14 years this work has supported approximately 200 children a year.

Profile of the Stonehouse area:

Stonehouse is an inner-city neighbourhood of Plymouth and forms part of the St Peter and the Waterfront Ward. Stonehouse has a population of approximately 9000 people. In 2019 the overall Index of Multiple Deprivation score for St Peter Ward was 47.1 this ranks the ward as 1 in the City. In 2019 11.5% of the population were claiming universal credit, compared to 5.6% across the City as a whole

The neighbourhood is also characterized by high levels of social housing and poor-quality private sector housing.

Stonehouse also has a lower life expectancy than the Plymouth average and is the worst neighbourhood in terms of anti-social behaviour. The Ward has the highest rates of child protection cases and children going into care.

The area has also seen the loss of public houses, many of the traditional pubs on street corners have gone out of business, where there were once over 100 pubs in Stonehouse there are now 8 one of which includes the Famous Firkin.

Stonehouse is a densely populated neighbourhood, with flats and houses, in proximity. With the decline of the pubs, the loss of two community centres and the local health centre the area lacks places where people can congregate, socialize, meet their neighbours and friends and plan, and carry out normal community activities.

The Famous Firkin

The Famous Firkin has been a pub since 1921, formerly known as the Adelaide Inn the pub was one of many of the corner street pubs that were a feature of this part of the city. In the 1990's there were as many as 30 pubs in Stonehouse.

The pub has been in gradual decline over the last 10 years or so, both in terms of the number of users and the physical condition of the building. The pub was an important part of the fabric of the community, binding people together, contributing to life and vitality of the area and making this corner of Stonehouse a better place to live.

The Millfields Trust has established a relationship with the current owner and has agreed a Heads of Terms to purchase the building. As part of our preparation work, they have commissioned a condition survey. This report has highlighted significant problems with the building, as well as other areas that will need further investigations. This will require a structural survey.

Proposal

Millfields Trust want to make the Famous Firkin a thriving local once more. An open and friendly establishment which welcomes Mum's and Dads for coffee on the way back from the school run, lunches for the residents of Ron King House and others nearby, a home for the knitters, the sowers, the euchre group, the darts, and pool teams and runs bingo and karaoke events. They want the pub to welcome all sections of the community and as part of the business plan we hope to offer affordable but good quality healthy food. To achieve all of above we want to make the pub as mortgage fee as possible.

<u>Deed of Legal charge between the Lender (Plymouth City Council) and the Borrower (Millfields Trust)</u>

The terms of the loan will be through a deed of legal charge agreement on the property. The borrower is contracted to purchase the property and the Lender agrees to lend to the Borrower the agreed loan sum to enable the Borrower to purchase the Property on condition that its repayment together with interest is secured in the manner set out in the legal charge document.

The interest rate is 3% and the repayment period is over 36 months. The repayment dates will be I month after completion and every first day of each month thereafter.

In the eventuality of a default, The security created by or pursuant to the Deed shall become immediately enforceable at any time after the occurrence of an Event of Default.

After the security created by or pursuant to this Deed has become enforceable, the Lender may in its absolute discretion enforce all or any part of this Deed in any manner it sees fit.

Performance 2020/21 - Review of Business

The Trust recorded an operating profit of £226,255 before tax during the year 2020/21 compared to £176,080 in the preceeding year. The Trust took steps to manage their own costs at the beginning of that financial year and were able to secure repayment holidays for mortgages, whilst also reducing staff costs and making savings from utilities and other running costs.

Match funding

Aside from the loan, a community share offer will be launched to help purchase the pub and create a real sense of local ownership. Professional staff will be appointed to manage the pub and will have on site accommodation as part of appointment. Volunteers will then be sought to run events and activities. The local community around the pub want the place to thrive once again, to become more than a place of congregation, to be a hub and a venue that is a catalyst for other community activities.

Timescales

- May 2022 Purchase the pub
- June 2022 Form new Community Benefit Society
- June 2022 Launch Community share offer
- October 2022 Begin work on refurbishment
- March 2023 Open for business



Page 19

The following relates to exempt or confidential matters (Para(s) 3 of Part 1, Schedule 12A of the Local Govt Act 1972). Any breach of confidentiality could prejudice the Council/person/body concerned & might amount to a breach of the councillors /employees codes of conduct.

Document is Restricted

